

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 000435
ORIGIN COME-00

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 DODE-00 EB-07 NRC-05 NSAE-00 USIA-06
TRSE-00 EUR-12 ERDA-05 ISO-00 /036 R

DRAFTED BY COM/OEA CGSEASWORD, EPWALINSKY:BAW
APPROVED BY EB/EWT RWPRACHT
RPE
DEFENSE/OSD - DSCHRO

-----040201Z 061063 /62

R 032253Z JAN 77
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USMISSION OECD PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 000435

EXCON

E.O. 11652:XGDS-1

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM, UR

SUBJECT: US COMPUTER SYSTEMS TO USSR - IL 1565

REFS: A. COCOM DOC. (76)1958; B. OECD PARIS 37808; C. COCOM
DOC. (76)397 AND D. COCOM DOC.(76)1432

USDEL MAY USE THE FOLLOWING IN REPLYING TO THE UK QUESTIONS
RE REF A CONTAINED IN REF B.

1. THE REFERENCE TO THE CPU IN PARA 6 OF REF A WAS INTEND-
ED TO SERVE AS A MEASURE OF THE CAPABILITY OF THE TWO 3000
SYSTEMS, NOT AS JUSTIFICATION FOR THE IMPOSITION OF NOTE 12
(H)(3) CONDITIONS, ALBEIT THAT FACT WAS NOT AS CLEAR AS
IT COULD HAVE BEEN. THE CASE WAS SUBMITTED AS AN AD HOC
EXCEPTIONS REQUEST SINCE THE OVER-ALL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE
SYSTEMS EXCEEDED NOTE 12 PARAMETERS IN THE THREE RESPECTS
CITED BY THE UK, ALTHOUGH IT WAS FORWARDED UNDER THE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 000435

URGENCY PROCEDURE.

2. NOTE 12(H)(3) SAFEGUARD CONDITIONS WERE SELECTED BE-
CAUSE THE HIGH DATA TRANSFER RATES OF THE REMOTE TERMINALS
ARE MITIGATED BY (I) THE DEDICATED, HIGHLY VISIBLE END-USE,
(II) THE TERMINALS THEMSELVES ARE LOCATED IN A SINGLE
BUILDING FOR EACH SYSTEM, AND (III) THE PERFORMANCE OF THE

CPU'S IS MODEST AND BY THEMSELVES THEY DO NOT EXCEED NOTE

12(H)(3)(II) PARAMETERS. ON BALANCE, WE BELIEVE THE COMBINATION OF THESE FACTORS REDUCES THE LIKLIHOOD OF DIVERSION TO SIGNIFICANT STRATEGYIC USAGE AND, THEREFORE, NOTE 12(H)(3) CONDITIONS ARE APPROPRIATE. WE NOTE THAT SIMILAR VISIT/REPORTING CONDITIONS WERE ATTACHED TO THE 3000 SYSTEM COVERED BY REF C, WHICH RECEIVED COMMITTEE CONCURRENCE. ALTHOUGH THE PERFORMANCE FACTORS INVOLVED IN THIS SYSTEM AND THE TWO IN REF A ARE DIFFERENT, BOTH ARE SIMILAR IN OVER-ALL FUNCTIONING. (DEL WILL NOTE THAT THE TOTAL CONDITION PACKAGE FOR REF C FOLLOED THE NOTE 12 PATTERN WE USED BEFORE AND FOR A TIME AFTER NOTE 12 CAME INTO EXISTENCE. WE HAVE DISCONTINUED THAT PRACTICE. THEREFORE, DEL SHOULD STRESS THE SIMILARITY OF VISIT/REPORTING CONDITIONS LEST THE UK ATTEMPT TO WIDEN THE ISSUE BY ASKING WHY WE DID NOT APPLY THE SAME TOTAL CONDITION PACKAGE TO REF A AS WE DID TO REF C.)

3. IN LINKING UK APPROVAL WITH THE IMPOSITION OF NOTE 12 (E)(3) CONDITIONS, AS WAS THE CASE WITH REF D, WE WONDER IF THE BRITISH ARE ATTEMPTING TO MUDDY THE WATER. THE JAPANESE IN THEIR ASSESSMENT OF REF D APPARENTLY CONSIDERED (E)(3) SAFEGUARDS APPROPRIATE, WHICH IS THEIR PREROGATIVE SINCE EACH MEMBER MAKES ITS OWN DECISION AS TO

WHAT CONSTITUTES AN AD HOC EXCEPTIONS AND WHAT CONDITIONS

SHOULD BE IMPOSED ON THE TRANSACTION TO INSURE ITS USE IN CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 000435

THE CIVIL SECTOR. OUR REASONS FOR SELECTING (H)(3) CONDITIONS ARE ENUMERATED IN PARA 2 ABOVE. WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS POINT, DEL SHOULD NOTE THAT REF D COVERED TWO COMPUTERS WHICH WERE TO BE TIGHTLY COUPLED, RESULTING IN A JOINT PDR OF 33.7, WHEREAS THE US TRANSACTION IN REF A INVOLVES TWO SEPARATE SYSTEMS AT DIFFERENT LOCATIONS WITH PDR RATES OF 6.32 EACH. ON THIS BASIS, WE CONSIDER (E)(3) CONDITIONS FOR REF D APPROPRIATE.
KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: STRATEGIC TRADE CONTROLS, EXCEPTIONS LIST, EXPORT LICENSES, COMPUTERS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 03-Jan-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE000435
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: BAW
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770002-0753
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770184/aaaacvoj.tel
Line Count: 106
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 1fd7e4dd-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN COME
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 OECD PARIS 37808
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 04-Apr-2005 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3671316
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: US COMPUTER SYSTEMS TO USSR - IL 1565
TAGS: ESTC, UR, US, COCOM
To: OECD PARIS
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/1fd7e4dd-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009